

One Million IUPAC names

Egon Willighagen 

Published March 8, 2025

Citation

Willighagen, E. (2025). One Million IUPAC names. In *chem-bla-ics*. chem-bla-ics. <https://doi.org/10.59350/tjkf2-k1608>

Keywords

Iupac, Cheminf, Oscar, Textmining

Abstract

Names of chemicals are part of the human user experience when browsing a chemical database. And literature too, of course. Chemical names are also not easy to use, and what a chemical name means is not always clear. This is why the IUPAC started a standardizing nomenclature in chemistry, the IUPAC names. Each IUPAC name uniquely defines the chemical structure it defines. For example, methane is the IUPAC name for the chemical CH₄.

Copyright

Copyright © Egon Willighagen 2025. Distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Names of chemicals are part of the human user experience when browsing a chemical database. And literature too, of course. Chemical names are also not easy to use, and what a chemical name means is not always clear. This is why the [IUPAC](#) started a standardizing nomenclature in chemistry, the *IUPAC names*. Each IUPAC name uniquely defines the chemical structure it defines. For example, *methane* is the IUPAC name for the chemical CH4.

So, when propagating chemical structures from the [Beilstein Bioschemas feed](#), I was looking for names, IUPAC or not, ideally the name used in the article. When I asked about this, the question came up if they could autogenerate IUPAC names, for which [various new tools exist](#) (I think I am missing one from an American team, but cannot find the reference), along with multiple established commercial tools. Because the IUPAC nomenclature is a long list of naming rules, priorities, etc, a rule-based algorithm is logical, but newer methods take a deep-learning approach.

Back to the chemical annotation of chemistry literature. This is of obvious interest: you want to know where we can read more about a certain chemical. We need the chemical structures in a database for that, linked to the articles. This is, of course, one of the original studies of *cheminformatics*. And when authors of the chemical literature do not provide this routinely ([this post](#) shows a few exceptions, but it is still all too rare). And then manual and automated curation is needed, e.g. done by [Chemical Abstracts](#).

Third, [Wikidata](#) has [about 1.4 million](#) chemical compounds and many names. A [property proposal for IUPAC names](#) has been long pending, but once accepted in one form or another, will require IUPAC names too.

One million IUPAC names

Thus, the idea came up, can we create a set of 1 million unique IUPAC names found in literature? I asked on the [ELIXIR Europe](#) slack channel if [Europe PMC](#) had such a dataset (doi: [10.1093/nar/gkad1085](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkad1085)). I knew they had been adding chemical [named-entity recognition](#) (NER) results in [their annotation API](#). I learned they used [ChEBI](#). Melanie Vollmar and Summer Rosonovski or Europe PMC gave useful information and support. [Magnus Palmlad](#) also replied and provided Python code to use the Europe PMC API to fetch names it returns and see if those are IUPAC names. Well, that's easy. We have [OPSIN](#) for that (see doi: [10.1021/ci100384d](https://doi.org/10.1021/ci100384d)).

Unfortunately, the Europe PMC NER results are not ideal for IUPAC names. Just scanning some 5, 6 organic chemistry journals returned some 8 thousand IUPAC names in open access articles. But it quickly started to be too limited: each set of articles returned increasingly few new names. The reason is simple: the NER is too *greedy* and as a result, does not easily recognize longer IUPAC names. It is too happy with a substring of the IUPAC name. For example, when it encounters the IUPAC name *5-Bromo-1H-indole-3-carboxylic acid*, it settles for *indole-3-carboxylic acid*:

Journal	Reaction	Product	Synthesis, our result
36098	5-Bromo-1H- 36098 oromethyl)phenyl)-1H- 36098 romethyl)phenyl)-1H- 36098 romethyl)phenyl)-1H- 36098 romethyl)phenyl)-1H- 36098 romethyl)phenyl)-1H- 36098 romethyl)phenyl)-1H- 36098 idation of L-DHO to	indole-3-carboxylic acid indole indole indole indole indole indole orotate	(2) -3-carboxamide (3) -3-carboxamide (4a) -3-carboxamide (4b) -3-carboxamide (5a) -3-carboxamide (5b) -3-carboxamide (5c) -3-carboxamide (5d) , which is followed

Open-Source Chemistry Analysis Routines

During my PhD, in 2003, when I worked a few months with Prof. [Peter Murray-Rust](#) (University of Cambridge) and Prof. Janet Thornton (EMBL-EBI), I learned about the research by [Sam Adams](#) (doi:[10.1039/B411699M](https://doi.org/10.1039/B411699M)), [Joe Townsend](#) (doi:[10.1039/B411033A](https://doi.org/10.1039/B411033A)), and [Peter Corbett](#) (doi: [10.1007/11875741_11](https://doi.org/10.1007/11875741_11)). One of the tools that used this research was (is) [OSCAR](#), short for *Open-Source Chemistry Analysis Routines* (see [this detailed write up by Peter MR](#)). Later, in 2010 I visited Peter again, as postdoc, in Cambridge, and then [worked on the OSCAR project](#) too. And while OSCAR did a lot more, the integration of [Corbett's NER research](#) made OSCAR the obvious follow-up step in finding IUPAC names in literature.

And because [OSCAR4 had been integrated into Bioclipse](#) (doi:[10.1186/1758-2946-3-41](https://doi.org/10.1186/1758-2946-3-41)) and I had this ported to Bacting already (doi:[10.21105/joss.02558](https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.02558)), using this was trivial. The use of Europe PMC is different now, however, and we are no longer using the Annotations API, but just using it to find open access articles, and to get the full text in XML format. That allows a simple XPath search on `<p>` elements, pass the resulting string to OSCAR4, and the recognized names are checked with OPSIN. And with this approach, processing two of the five or six journals we earlier explored, we find another 40+ thousand IUPAC names. Quite a success, I am tempted to say.

A Blue Obelisk project

So, I started a new [Blue Obelisk](#) project, [iupac-names](#), to collect 1M IUPAC names. For researchers to use, learn from, etc. Just IUPAC names. Not even the chemical structure, nor the link to the articles. The first is trivial to do with OPSIN, so the matching SMILES do not need to be stored. Links to literature is tricky because of the aforementioned issues, and we only want to know which (partial) IUPAC names occur in literature. If you really want to know in which articles that IUPAC name is found, you can simply do a search in Europe PMC.

And because we only store IUPAC names, this are very basic facts (this is an IUPAC name, as defined by OPSIN being able to generate a SMILES for this structure) and that that string occurs in some article) and we can share them as CCZero. We [defined various milestones](#), and I am happy that the first two have been reached within two weeks:

- [Milestone 10k](#) (doi:[10.5281/zenodo.14965762](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14965762))
- [Milestone 50k](#) (doi:[10.5281/zenodo.14978557](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14978557))

This second milestone has 53848 unique names, but as literature goes, there are interesting variations, some likely because of typesetting leading to spaces added and missing. If we ignore

chem-bla-ics

spaces and hyphens, we have 50534 names left (hence the milestone). But IUPAC names are also not fully unique, partly because of Unicode character variations and greek letter alternatives, and you may wonder how many different chemical structures this set reflects. While not perfect, the Standard InChI gives some lower limit, and we find 36528 InChIKeys in this second milestone.

Now, we need twenty times as much to reach the 1M IUPAC names, but given we have many, many more open access articles to process. The bottleneck seems to be mostly our workflow.

Can you contribute?

Yes, of course! This is an open science project. But please keep in mind the narrow focus of this project: only IUPAC names which can be found in (open access) literature. This project did not accept autogenerated names (PubChem would have given use many millions already), nor IUPAC names from existing databases. Ideally, you are able to show the code you use to extract/find those names in literature.

Can I use these names?

First of all, this is what the CCZero license and open science nature of this project is about: reuse. We love to hear how you are using these names, tho, and we encourage you to write up how you are using them. You can use [DataCite](#) to cite the release you used, and citing this blog post by DOI is also possible.

Does it support my language too?

No, at this moment it only supports IUPAC names in English. Dutch, French, Spanish, or Chinese IUPAC names are valid, but currently not supported. See also [this post](#).

Will there be a publication?

Magnus and I intend so. We already submitted an abstract to the [International Conference on Chemical Structures](#), which has a [Collection in the Journal of Cheminformatics](#). If the abstract gets accepted, of course, we can submit there. Otherwise, we will look for another venue, likely [diamond open access](#).

Where is your script?

Ah, fair point. We did not decide on the final license yet. I have used two scripts based on the template by Magnus. As soon as we have finalized the license, we will make those available.