

The TDCC NES Col-Lab Retreat

Egon Willighagen 

Published February 21, 2026

Citation

Willighagen, E. (2026). The TDCC NES Col-Lab Retreat. In *chem-bla-ics*. chem-bla-ics. <https://doi.org/10.59350/pm3c5-89k94>

Keywords

Fair, Chemistry, Metabolomics, Fair4chemnl, Fairify

Abstract

Last autumn two TDCC projects started, FAIR4ChemNL (with the PeerTube channel and doi:10.61686/XVYQV45374) and FAIRify for metabolomics data (doi:10.61686/CSGIP04334). But I haven't written much on either yet and what the role is our research group in these projects.

Copyright

Copyright © Egon Willighagen 2026. Distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

chem-bla-ics

Last autumn two TDCC projects started, *FAIR4ChemNL* (with the [PeerTube channel](#) and doi:10.61686/XVYQV45374) and *FAIRify for metabolomics data* (doi:10.61686/CSGIP04334). But I haven't written much on either yet and what the role is our research group in these projects.

Let's start with what the TDCC actually are: they are [Thematic Digital Competence Centres](#):

The Thematic Digital Competence Centres (TDCCs) are network-based initiatives set up by NWO and the Dutch academic community to broker investments into research data management projects. The three TDCCs are national and discipline based, with one pillar each for the Social Sciences & Humanities (SSH), Natural and Engineering Sciences (NES) and Life Sciences & Health (LSH). The networks will help formulate and facilitate projects designed to promote the adoption of open data, software and research practices, alongside the development of the necessary expertise.

So, where initiatives like [GO FAIR](#) had centers of competencies (the implementation networks), they did not have funding for them. This was a main reason why the *Chemistry Implementation Network* (ChIN, doi:10.1162/dint_a_00035) did not take off. The TDCCs do not provide a lot of money, but enough to support disseminating expertise and promote some key ideas.

The idea is that combined with other efforts, it strengthens the level of FAIR in the Dutch research community. I have to say, this is much needed, as the level of FAIR data in journal publications is so much to wish for, and still mostly absent.

The FAIR4ChemNL project already had a networking activity during the writing of the proposal, the workshop already back in 2024 that I [blogged about earlier](#) (see also [this report](#)). The FAIRify project is coordinated by the group that was key in the *Netherlands Metabolomics Center* (NMC), now the [BeneLux Metabolomics Center](#). During a postdoc at the NMC during my Wageningen days, we already did a lot of FAIR competency building with [the MetWare project](#).

The Col-Lab Retreat

The [TDCC-NES](#) organized a networking event in August last year, the 2025 [TDCC-NES Col-Lab Retreat](#). I am late with reporting on it, but there simply was too much project management that took priority. The meeting was in the wonderful Dutch town Schoorl, and the location is great for collaborative meetings. I had been there a year earlier for an Open Science Retreat and was happy to go back.

During the unconference-style meeting [various topics were discussed](#) in breakout groups, and because of the two TDCC projects, I was particularly interested in the *Metadata and interoperability* topic. Partly because this is how we can make electronic lab notebooks automatically push metadata to registries (and [Rory Macneil](#) was also in Schoorl, of [RSpace/ResearchSpace](#) which already integrated with various open platforms), and partly because I wanted to continue explore [nanopublications](#) with [Christian Meesters](#), which could be the envelope to distribute the metadata. For the last, I was looking at the Java library for nanopublications (see [this PR](#)).

chem-bla-ics

The idea that ELNs automatically share metadata about experiments is something that is attractive. It would require no involvement from the researcher, would be fully automatic, and drive interest (users, peer reviewers) to experiments and experimental data. Something that is still absurdly hard is to do a search for experiments that measured the melting point of some chemical. How awesome would it be if ELNs would automatically register chemicals from the experiment in, for example, [PubChem](#).

We had the idea of applying for a Lorentz Workshop, but the earliest deadline was too early, but maybe it is time to pick up that idea again. Interoperability standards already exist, like the aforementioned nanopubs, but also [RO-Crates](#) that are also studied by Jente Houweling in the VHP4Safety project (see [this Data tab](#) for a preview).