The Willgerodt-Kindler Reaction: mechanistic reality check 1.

Henry Rzepa 💿

Published July 21, 2020

Citation

Rzepa, H. (2020). The Willgerodt-Kindler Reaction: mechanistic reality check 1. *Henry Rzepa's Blog*. https://doi.org/10.59350/nh8q6-7k182

Keywords

Reaction Mechanism



Copyright

Copyright © Henry Rzepa 2020. Distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Henry Rzepa's Blog

The Willgerodt reaction[cite]10.1002/cber.18870200278[/cite], discovered in 1887 and shown below, represents a transformation with a once famously obscure mechanism. A major step in the elucidation of that mechanism came[cite]10.1021/ja01157a034[/cite] using the then new technique of ¹⁴C radio-labelling, shortly after the atom bomb projects during WWII made ¹⁴CO₂ readily available to researchers. Here I am going to start the process of applying the far more recent technique of quantitative quantum mechanical modelling to see if some of the proposed mechanisms stand up to its scrutiny.



In the classic experiment, it was shown that if acetophenone labelled on the carbonyl group with ¹⁴C was subjected to Willgerodt conditions, almost 100% of the label ended up in the benzylic carbon(red dot).[cite]10.1021/ja01157a034[/cite] Why was this considered remarkable? Because it was effectively the oxygen of the carbonyl (*via* the proxy of the N in the intermediate enamine) that appeared to be migrating along the C₂ carbon chain, rather than the phenyl group which is a known very effective migrator! The rather harsh conditions of the Willgerodt reaction were replaced in 1923 by the somewhat milder Kindler modification,[cite]10.1002/jlac. 19234310111[/cite] using morpholine + S₈ as the catalyst instead of ammonia + S₈. The mechanism below is adapted from a typical source of named organic reactions; the Wikipedia page is a rather more elaborate version of this. In essence these mechanisms suggest that the aziridine species labelled **Int2** below is an undetected intermediate accounting for the radio-labelling experiment.



The computational reality check can be undertaken by calculating the relative free energies of the species labelled above, setting that of the reactant to $\Delta\Delta G = 0.0$. The model used is B3LYP+GD3+BJ/Def2-TZVPP/SCRF=water (FAIR data DOI: 10.14469/hpc/7294). For the reaction to be reasonably fast at 403K, the highest species on this pathway should be no higher than ~30 kcal/mol above the reactant. The calculations reveal that **TS3** is around 42.6 kcal/mol above the reactant, with a very flat potential energy surface in the region of the transition state, in which C-N cleavage preceeds 1,2-hydrogen migration.







The value of this barrier height suggests an alarm bell ringing. Protonating the species (*via* tosic acid) does not help. So we conclude that the mechanism needs "optimising" to try to find a lower energy pathway to product.

Species	ΔΔG
Reactant	0.0
TS1	27.9 (44.4) ^a
Int1	5.8 (13.0) ^a
TS2	16.9 (22.4) ^a
Int2	14.7
TS3	42.6
Product	-21.2

Henry Rzepa's Blog

Int3 -0.4 ^aProtonated on S

A hint of how this might be done comes from the energy of the species labelled **Int3**, which is a thiirane rather than an aziridine intermediate. Such thiiranes will be explored in part two of this theme. It may also be that explicit base catalysis of TS3 *via* proton abstraction may be more facile than a direct [1,2] hydrogen shift. Much like organic syntheses, where reaction yields have to be optimised by often long and arduous explorations, so too on occasion do reaction mechanisms!

https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8635-8390