

Journal of Cheminformatics: I hope the Instructions to the Authors improve

Egon Willighagen 

Published March 22, 2009

Citation

Willighagen, E. (2009). Journal of Cheminformatics: I hope the Instructions to the Authors improve. In *chem-bla-ics*. chem-bla-ics. <https://doi.org/10.59350/kzzbq-02828>

Keywords

Cb, Cheminf, Cml, Userscript, Publishing

Abstract

Besides Nature Chemistry , another journal was launched last week (see here and here): the Journal of Cheminformatics. First of all, congratulations to Chris and David for their efforts! While the journal only published one research paper yet, it already found its place on Chemical blogspace. I have two things I want to blog about: data rich publishing, and starting the scientific communication.

Copyright

Copyright © Egon Willighagen 2009. Distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Besides [Nature Chemistry](#), another journal was launched last week (see [here](#) and [here](#)): the [Journal of Cheminformatics](#). First of all, congratulations to [Chris](#) and David for their efforts! While the journal only published one research paper yet, it already found [its place](#) on [Chemical blogspace](#). I have two things I want to blog about: *data rich publishing*, and *starting the scientific communication*.

Data Rich Publishing

Peter had a [detailed blog](#) about why he joined the editorial board:

I take this position with some trepidation as I have grave reservations about the current practice of cheminformatics. It suffers from closed data, closed source and closed standards, and thereby generally poor experimental design, poor metrics and almost always irreproducible results and conclusions which are based on subjective opinions.

I strongly agree with this observation, and have discussed my view on this in [my thesis](#) (send me an email if you want a copy).

So, what has the journal to say about this (see [Instructions to the Author](#), emphasis mine):

*Journal of Cheminformatics recommends, **but does not require**, that the source code of the software should be made available under a suitable open-source license that will entitle other researchers to further develop and extend the software if they wish to do so.*

Regarding data, they even less revolutionary; recommended figures formats (EPS, PDF, PNG) focus on nice graphics instead of reuse of data. I also note that I cannot upload data in the [Open Document Format](#), or, hey, let's really push things, in [RDF](#). Well, not according to the Instructions. And surely, I can put the [O|R]DF in the supplementary information, anyway. It would also be nice if I could use Jmol as an applet to enrich the graphics, and improve data reusability of the paper, like the [RSC recently started to allow](#).

Regarding the supplementary information, there is a section on *additional files*, which, inconveniently are capped at 20MB size. No mention of chemical formats at all, neither any recommendation on semantic formats like [CML](#) (I wonder when this was discussed with the Editorial Board, and where Peter was at the time). How am I going to put online my 500 molecular structure CML file now? (Though it's good to know it is virus scanned ;)

So, why do I vent my concerns about these limitations? I had not blogged about the launch of the journal earlier, because I have not made up my mind about it. On one side, I am happy to see a journal that promotes (scientific) use of papers, and a journal that allows me to keep copyright on the material. However, on the other side, what the current Instructions suggest, the data I could use from the papers is available only in an old-fashioned way. That's a lost opportunity and could have killed competition for sure. Instead, the unique selling point is now restricted to using an [open access license](#). Nature Chemistry, on the other hand, chose data rich publishing as a selling point (though in competition with things done at the RSC).

chem-bla-ics

The other thing I want to mention about the journal is the following. [Rajarshi](#) blogged about [Bachrach's](#) paper on *Chemistry publication - making the revolution* (DOI:[10.1186/1758-2946-1-2](#)). Firstly, by adding a link like that for the DOI I just gave, Chemical blogspace can pick it up; we need this later. Secondly, the paper actually suggests that “[b]y publishing lots of data, available for ready re-use by all scientists, we can radically change the way science is communicated and ultimately performed”; this is in strong contrast to what I have seen in the Instructions so far.

Starting the Scientific Communication

[Rich](#) replied to Rajarshi about the requirement to log in before someone could make a comment, which he did not like. He suggested alternative ways to prevent SPAM and sorts. The choice for this commenting approach may also originate from having an Open discussion, where everyone takes responsibility for what he says. The use of OpenID, as Rich suggests would only partially address that; on the other hand, setting up a fake email address is quite common in the blogosphere too.

If Rajarshi would have used the DOI to link to the Steven's paper, as said, Chemical blogspace would have recognized it. Instead, he chose to link directly to the PDF. This is a typical case of hamburgers in action. However, others did when they discussed the first research paper in the journal (DOI:[10.1186/1758-2946-1-3](#)). These blogs were picked up by Cb and are listed on [this page](#).

Now, I only need to remind you of *Userscripts for the Life Sciences* (DOI:[10.1186/1471-2105-8-487](#)) that we have the methods to link these comments back to the journal website. The *Quotes from Chemical Blogspace and Postgenomic* script in particular, does the hard work (needs GreaseMonkey, the script can be downloaded [here](#); see also [Noel's original post](#)). This way, we can read the comments when we visit the [papers homepage](#):

Computer-assisted methods for molecular structure elucidation: Spectroscopist's dream

I Elyashberg ✉, Kirill Blinov ✉, Sergey Molodtsov ✉, Yegor Smurnyy ✉, Antony J Williams ✉ and Tatia



Journal of Cheminformatics 2009, 1:3 doi:10.1186/1758-2946-1-3

Published: 17 March 2009



Abstract (provisional)

Background

This article coincides with the 40 year anniversary of the first publication on computer-aided structure elucidation (CASE). The general principles and the current state of the art in this field will be described using, as an example,

Conclusions

Now, the script has not yet been updated for the new journal (Noel, can you please upload the revision?), so you need to edit the source right now and add `http://*.jcheminf.com/*` to the list of website the script acts on:

Powered by Chemical Blogspace

[One hour from receipt of article to publication](#) *ChemSpider Blog* 2 of the ChemSpider Journal of Chemistry (CJOC) in the next month's articles are being deposited over the next few days. I received an article entitled "Applications of Computer-Aided Structure Elucidation to the..."

[Journal of Cheminformatics publishes launch article](#)

We are delighted to announce that Journal of Cheminformatics has published its first articles. Journal of Cheminformatics is an open access journal from Chemistry Central publishing research in all aspects of cheminformatics and molecular structure elucidation.

[Our Article on Computer Assisted Structure Elucidation](#)

ChemSpider Blog An article entitled "Computer-assisted molecular structure elucidation: realizing a spectroscopist's dream" has been published in the Journal of Cheminformatics.

