

# Molecules in Wikipedia



Published August 2, 2007

## Citation

Willighagen, E. (2007, August 2). Molecules in Wikipedia. *Chem-bla-ics*. <https://doi.org/10.59350/jv7te-h3w77>

## Keywords

Chemistry, Wikipedia, Rdf, Inchi

## Abstract

I do not care about physical and chemical properties in Wikipedia, as I can easily extract them from other sources. The main value of Wikipedia for molecules is, I think, that it describes the history of a molecule.

## Copyright

Copyright © None 2007. Distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

## chem-bla-ics

I do not care about physical and chemical properties in [Wikipedia](#), as I can easily extract them from other sources. The main value of Wikipedia for molecules is, I think, that it describes the history of a molecule. Additionally, the Wikipedia URL is a nice unique molecular identifier (for example <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lactose>) given certain conditions, and many [bloggers are using it as such](#) . But, it only is a useful identifier if one (and only one) InChI is stated on the wiki page.

Now that I am [RDF-ing molecular space](#) , I was [again](#) interested in [dbpedia](#), a RDF version of Wikipedia. See these two [blog items](#) and Peter's very nice [dbpedia, RDF and SPARQL - for chemistry](#) item. [Christian](#) is picking this up, and extending dbpedia for support for the various chemical boxes.

## Wikipedia Templates

I have spotted a couple of templates: [Drugbox](#), [Chembox](#), [Chembox new](#), of which the last one seems to most recent, and has extensions for explosives and drugs. The [WikiProject Chemicals](#) does not mention it though. Anyone who knows the status? Is *chembox new* the way forward and going to replace the older *chembox*? I hope so, because only the newer one has InChI in the last of official fields. Or is *chembox new* simply an extension of *chembox* itself?

Somewhere between 1000 and 1500 entries use the *chembox new* and another 1000 to 1500 use *chembox* but I assume there is considerable overlap. Additionally, Christian noted that there still seem to be molecules in Wikipedia which do not use a template at all, and counted some 1900 molecules using various lists. If you you want to keep a more close eye on chemistry in dbpedia, you should register to the [dbpedia-discussion](#) mailing list.