

Numbers are copyrighted?

Egon Willighagen 

Published May 25, 2007

Citation

Willighagen, E. (2007). Numbers are copyrighted?. In *chem-bla-ics*. chem-bla-ics. <https://doi.org/10.59350/jtzzf-jfz50>

Keywords

Copyright

Abstract

I just read on Planet Blue Obelisk Peter's disturbing news (via Suber) that Wiley thinks it can copyright a set of numbers (also known as data). That is a sad milestone in scientific publishing. It reminds me of the recent internet hype about a long number recently flooding the internet (and notably del.icio.us) related to watching DVDs you legally bought.

Copyright

Copyright © Egon Willighagen 2007. Distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

chem-bla-ics

I just read on [Planet Blue Obelisk](#) Peter's disturbing news (via [Suber](#)) that [Wiley](#) thinks it can [copyright a set of numbers](#) (also known as data). That is a sad milestone in scientific publishing. It reminds me of the recent internet hype about a long number recently flooding the internet (and notably [del.icio.us](#)) related to watching DVDs you legally bought. Some details can be found in this [Linux Weekly News](#) article on [How Debian packages a number](#).

Interestingly, this is really not problems just regarding commercial publishers, or closed access publishing or so. Yesterday, [Christoph](#) and I working on getting [the NMR spectrum text mining](#) going in [Bioclipse](#) again for the [workshop](#), we noticed that the open access [Beilstein Journal of Organic Chemistry](#), does not make [Open Data](#) reality either: the experimental sections are generally (all?) excluded from the main text in HTML and obscured in .doc files in the supplementary information.

BTW, this makes me wonder if organic chemists still consider the experimental properties of molecules novel science.