

# Who says Java is not fast?!?

Egon Willighagen 

Published December 4, 2008

## Citation

Willighagen, E. (2008, December 4). Who says Java is not fast?!?. *Chem-bla-ics*. <https://doi.org/10.59350/j9em9-aad11>

## Keywords

Java, Cdk

## Abstract

While performance tests actually show that for even core numerical calculations Java is at par with C in terms of speeds, and sometimes even hits Fortran-like speeds, people keep think that Java is not fast. I only invite you to test that yourself.

## Copyright

Copyright © Egon Willighagen 2008. Distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

While performance tests actually show that for even core numerical calculations Java is at par with C in terms of speeds, and sometimes even hits Fortran-like speeds, people keep think that Java is not fast. I only invite you to test that yourself.

Meanwhile, I would like to take the opportunity to advertise Noel's [cinfony](#) paper in [CCJ](#) (doi: [10.1186/1752-153X-2-24](#)) which features these speed measurements (from the paper, CC-BY license):

**Table 3 – Performance of Cinfony modules compared to a native Java or C++ implementation.**

	Iterate over SDF		Iterate and calculate molecular weight	
	Time (s)	Normalised	Time (s)	Normalised
<b>CDK</b>				
Native Java	21.2	1.00	36.8	1.00
<i>cdkjython</i>	23.1	1.09	41.6	1.13
<i>cdkjpype</i>	33.0	1.57	69.5	1.89
<b>OpenBabel</b>				
Native C++	31.9	1.00	43.0	1.00
<i>pybel</i>	34.1	1.07	45.1	1.05
<i>jbeyl</i>	38.0	1.19	49.6	1.15
<b>RDKit</b>				
Native C++	99.7	1.00	100.7	1.00
<i>rdkit</i>	99.9	1.00	101.0	1.00

The times reported are wallclock times from the best of three runs on a dual-core Intel Pentium 4 3.2 GHz machine with 1GB RAM.

I have to say that these numbers surprised me, as the [CDK](#) is hardly optimized for speed at al...