Lunch at Nature HQ (with Euan, Joanna, Ian and Ålf)

D

Published October 16, 2007

Citation

Willighagen, E. (2007, October 16). Lunch at Nature HQ (with Euan, Joanna, Ian and Ålf). *Chem-bla-ics*. https://doi.org/10.59350/j6dh2-02n14

Keywords

Connotea, Chemistry, Inchi

Copyright

Copyright © None 2007. Distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

chem-bla-ics

On my way back from the Taverna workshop I visited Nature HQ, as Ian reported about on Nascent. It was a (too) short meeting, but very nice to meet Euan (finally; he wrote the postgenomic.com software which I use for Chemical blogspace), Joanna (whom I met in Chicago already, where she had two presentations , and is responsible for Second Nature), Ian (who works on Connotea, and commented on my tagging molecule blog) and Ålf (who works on Scintilla) and briefly Timo (who rules them all). BTW, I had a simple but delicious pasta.

First, let me note that if I would have to name a favorite molecule, and it was acetic acid, not ascorbic acid. Reason why it would be my favorite is acetic acid was the first organic molecule I put in the Woordenboek Organische Chemie in 1995.

We discussed a number of things, regarding the things we do. One of these was tagging molecules. Ian used *http://rdf.openmolecules.net/?info:inchi/InChI=1/CH4/h1H4* instead of *http://rdf.openmolecules.net/?InChI=1/CH4/h1H4*. The first was not yet picked up by rdf.openmolecules.net but I fixed that.

We also discussed linking molecular structures with scientific literature. The discussions in blogspace of this week show that doing that by using computer programs is not appreciated by publishers (see here, here, here, here, here, and here) (The publishers seem to prefer to like to send of a PDF to India or China.)

I proposed that the InChI would be part of the publication, for all molecules mentioned in the article. If a journal can require exact bibliography and experimental section formats, they can certainly require InChIs too. There are few programs left which cannot autogenerate an InChI, and the chemists draws the structures anyway. However, the software used in the editorial process does not support linking InChIs with a PDF (if that software would have been opensource ...).

So, the best current option seems to be social tagging mechanisms, and this is what we talked about. Just use Connotea (or any other service) and tag your molecule with a DOI:



and

egonwillighagen's bookm	arks	EXPORT LIS	T RSS
	Create a <u>Profile</u> on the	<u>Commun</u>	<mark>/Export t</mark>
	Number of bookmarks per page:	10 <u>25</u>	50] :
		🕏 <u>edit</u>	⊠ <u>delet</u>
<u>estrone</u> rdf.openmolecules.net			

Posted by <u>eqonwillighagen</u> to <u>hormones</u> <u>doi:10.1107:S0108768104028344</u> or <u>Fri Oct 12 2007</u> at 13:51 UTC | <u>info</u> | **III** <u>related</u>

This tagging is done manually. No machines involved in that. Nothing the publishers can do about this. No ChemRefer needed. But this will allow us to start building a database with links between papers and molecules, which we badly need. BTW, we will not have to start from scratch. The NMRShiftDB already contains many links, which is open data!

Now, you might notice the informal semantics of the **doi**: prefix. That's something I hereby propose, as it allow services to pick up the content more easily. You might also note the *incorrect* DOI in Connotea. The reason for that is that Connotea does not yet support a '/' in a tag. I reported that problem.