

Better Publishing

Egon Willighagen 

Published September 16, 2024

Citation

Willighagen, E. (2024). Better Publishing. In *chem-bla-ics*. chem-bla-ics. <https://doi.org/10.59350/7qe60-evp05>

Keywords

Publishing, Openscience

Abstract

If you read my blog, it should not surprise you that I have long experimented with technologies to improve knowledge dissemination, for example in HTML. And I have blogged about publishing from an author and researcher, and editor perspective, for many years (see this longer list on my old blog). Also, in the Journal of Cheminformatics we pushed for innovation, including ORCID and GitHub adoption and Citation Typing Ontology adoption.

Copyright

Copyright © Egon Willighagen 2024. Distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

chem-bla-ics

If you read my blog, it should not surprise you that I have long experimented with technologies to improve knowledge dissemination, for example [in HTML](#). And I have [blogged about publishing](#) from an author and researcher, and editor perspective, for many years (see [this longer list on my old blog](#)). Also, in the [Journal of Cheminformatics](#) we pushed for innovation, including [ORCID and GitHub adoption](#) and [Citation Typing Ontology adoption](#).

All of these depend on the publisher to support these efforts. But the big publishers are not good at this (see also [doi:10.5281/zenodo.4926031](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4926031)<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4926031>) and/or prefer to make 20-30% profit first.

This opens room for innovative publishers. We have [F1000Research](#) pushing open peer review, and [PenSoft](#) pushing a new editor, [Overleaf](#) bringing collaborative online editing of LaTeX, [Qeios] experimenting with a [wider range of output types](#), and the [Journal of Open Source Software](#) (JOSS) pioneering a more open platform for the whole editing process.

And, of course, we have [Diamond Open Access](#) publishers that do not get enough visibility, like [SciPost](#) and [Beilstein](#) for natural sciences and JOSS for open source.

Open Journal Systems

And there is the [Open Journal Systems](#) (OJS), another editor manager, one that has been around for some time now. We use OJS for the [CDK News newsletter](#). Big news this week was that [OJS has been selected](#) as infrastructure to underpinning the [Open Research Europe](#) publishing platform, something running on F1000Research, [bought up by Taylor&Francis in 2020](#).

I need to catch up with where the OJS is technically. Do they support Markdown submissions? Do they export [JATS](#)? Do they support CiTO annotations? But this needs editors and journals to expect these things. Unfortunately, many journals have a limited expectation of digital knowledge dissemination, and it's still PDF galore.

Better Publishing

This brings me to the following: should the Dutch universities continue to fund the publisher business, stakeholder profit, or should we invest in open infrastructure to benefit our own core business: research and education. I think you understand what my position is on this. The current big deals we have with the big publishers are not actually really in our benefit and with the upcoming defunding we have to use every euro carefully. And then I prefer to fund a young researcher instead of publisher stakeholders.

I hope you are willing to read the following petition to the Dutch negotiators to very carefully consider what their priorities are and who they represent. You can sign anonymously (if you fear backlash) and you can just read the details behind this well-written petition: there are many references at the bottom to support the statements I make here, and more.

chem-bla-ics

But I really, really hope you wish a better future for knowledge dissemination. Just think of your next Reviewer 2, that you pay the publisher to have Reviewer 2 scold at you, or the time spent on reference formatting, just because the publisher prefers profit over usability.

Join and [sign!](#)

WE NEED A FUTURE-PROOF APPROACH TO OPEN ACCESS PUBLISHING IN THE NETHERLANDS

***I've
signed!***

Read, sign, and spread the petition!

