

chem-bla-ics

# Short variables and lack of comments...

Egon Willighagen 

Published December 4, 2008

## Citation

Willighagen, E. (n.d.). In *chem-bla-ics*. chem-bla-ics. <https://doi.org/10.59350/56vn2-zgc48>

## Copyright

Copyright © Egon Willighagen 2008. Distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

## chem-bla-ics

... a source code reviewer nightmare. The must-read [lwn.net](#) ran a [nice open letter](#) to a Linux kernel developer. I'd like to cite this bit about code review (see also [Re: Open Source != peer review](#)):

*[Andrew Morton] had a number of concrete requests - such as documenting the user-space ABI and the network protocol - which have not been satisfied. He also asked for better code documentation in general:*

- So please. Go through all the code and make it tell a story. Ask yourself "how would I explain all this to a kernel developer who is sitting next to me". It's important, and it's an important skill.*

This is important indeed! This is also why CDK quality assurance tends to complain about short variables. While an for-next index `i` is clear enough, `ac` for an `IAtomContainer` is quite useless, as it does not explain what the purpose of the container is. BTW, a longer name like `atomContainer` does not really help here. Maybe I will wrote a unit test for that...