

John Wilbanks replies to the ChemSpider/OpenData discussion

Egon Willighagen 

Published May 10, 2008

Citation

Willighagen, E. (n.d.). In *chem-bla-ics*. chem-bla-ics. <https://doi.org/10.59350/1x0d5-we437>

Keywords

Chemspider, Opendata, Copyright

Copyright

Copyright © Egon Willighagen 2008. Distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

chem-bla-ics

Not long after I posted my view on things, [John posted his reply](#) on the ChemSpider/OpenData discussion. His comment was merely to illustrate an internal advice to some organization, which got accidentally leaked. Anyway, a must read, [with two good links](#) to further reading on open data licensing.

His blog mentions the concept of *public domain*, where data might be dumped, but I always understood that the US public domain concept is different from that of mainland-EU, German law in particular. This second 'good link' points to a license which formalizes this 'public domain' idea. And reading it, I realize that I have read it before. But I had completely forgot about it.

A quick reread of these two links, tells me that it indeed is BSD-versus-GPL all over again; with the [Science Commons](#) license on the BSD side, and CC-BY-SA at the GPL side. The first surely makes the life easier of aggregators who wish to combine licenses. Can't argue with that.

Then again... what's wrong with a bit of viral character in the license? What's wrong with the statement that 'you may use my data, if I may use your aggregated data with the same license'? That limits your what you practically can do, but does not limit your freedoms.