

Preprint servers: the CPS failed, how will Nature Precedings do?

Egon Willighagen 

Published June 9, 2007

Citation

Willighagen, E. (2007, June 9). Preprint servers: the CPS failed, how will Nature Precedings do?. *Chem-bla-ics*. <https://doi.org/10.59350/16aw3-e6n02>

Keywords

Publishing, Nature, Connotea

Copyright

Copyright © Egon Willighagen 2007. Distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

chem-bla-ics

Some 7 years ago, following successes in physics, [ChemWeb.com launched the Chemistry Preprint Server \(CPS\)](#), and [Warr evaluated](#) it in a JCI article three years later. She wrote about 'lessons learned', but the only one seemed to have been that chemistry was not ready for it, as [the project shutdown in 2004](#). The [archives are still available](#), fortunately, and you may find it amusing to look up my or some other submission.

Now, [Nascent wrote that Nature is setting up Nature Precedings](#), which was earlier [noted by Pedro](#). The [official announcement](#) was published as an editorial in Nature. This being a Nature initiative, and not focused on just chemistry, I am sure it will do better than CPS. BTW, media coverage is [tracked in a social way](#).

I might [request an test account](#); I do have an old half-finished manuscript that I never got around to finishing. While still relevant, it could use some community input; this preprint server would be the perfect tool. That's how my first manuscript ended up on CPS too :)